# TABLE OF CONTENTS For PRE-RELEASE DRAFT SECTIONS OF EA

Section	<u>on</u>		<u>Page</u>
CHAP1	rep.	1 INTRODUCTION	1
	.1	BACKGROUND	
-	.1	PURPOSE AND NEED FOR THE PROPOSED ACTION ALTERNATIVE	
•	.3	NEPA PROCESS	
-	.3 .4	RELEVANT ISSUES	
CHAP			/
CHAP	IEK.	ALTERNATIVES	0
2	2.1	DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED ACTION ALTERNATIVE	9
CHAP1			
	1 E K 3.1	LAND USE	
		GEOLOGY, SOILS AND TOPOGRAPHY	
	3.2		
	3.3	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCESWATER RESOURCES – LANDSIDE/MARINE	21
	3.4		
	3.5	CULTURAL RESOURCES	
_	3.6	AIR QUALITY	
_	3.7	PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY	
	3.8	UTILITIES AND PUBLIC SERVICES	
	3.9	SOCIOECONOMICS AND ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	
		NOISE/AICUZ	
CHAPTER 4 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES AND MITIGATION MEASURES			
	1.1	LAND USE	66
	1.2	TOPOGRAPHY, GEOLOGY, SOILS, AND MARINE SEDIMENTS	
	1.3	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	
	1.4	WATER RESOURCES	
	1.5	CULTURAL RESOURCES	
2	1.6	AIR QUALITY	
4	1.7	PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY	
2	1.8	UTILITIES AND PUBLIC SERVICES	
	1.9	SOCIOECONOMICS AND ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	
. 4	1.10	NOISE/AICUZ	91
CHAP.	TER		
	5.1	POTENTIAL CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	92
	5.2	CONFORMANCE WITH FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL PLANS, POLICIES,	
		AND CONTROLS	93
	5.3	IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF NATURAL OR	
		DEPLETABLE RESOURCES	94
	5.4	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LOCAL SHORT-TERM USES OF MAN'S	
`		ENVIRONMENT AND MAINTENANCE AND ENHANCEMENT OF LONG-	
		TERM BIOLOGICAL PRODUCTIVITY	95
	5.5	UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS	
	5.6	MEANS TO MITIGATE ADVERSE IMPACTS	
CHAP			

# DRAFT

have no effect on whether cruise lines made portcalls at Key West, the overall net economic effect would be minimal.

The construction and operation of the Proposed Action Alternative projects would occur within the high security environment of Truman Annex and Boca Chica Airfield, which would prohibit access by unauthorized personnel. Most project impacts would be contained to the station and implementation of the projects would not result in disproportionate impacts to Bahama Village, to minority or low income populations, and no potential health or safety impacts to children to occur.

# 4.9.3 Full Support Alternative

The Full Support alternative includes all components of the Proposed Action Alternative. Consequently, Socioeconomic impacts associated with the Proposed Action Alternative would also result from implementation of the Full Support alternative. Considered below are only those project components of the Full Support alternative not found in the Proposed Action Alternative.

# 4.9.3.1 <u>Airfield and Truman Annex Improvements</u>

While the additional workers would come from other locations as well as the local commuting area, the total population increase is anticipated to be less than a four percent increase in the overall population, there would be some impacts to socioeconomic factors with the implementation of the major improvements. While land uses would stay the same and although no additional housing units are needed, lower enlisted rates now sharing houses would be required to return to living in barracks that do not meet the current Navy barracks standards of a separate room and shared bath per two occupants.

An increase of 300 military and civilian salaries would not noticeably affect the local or the regional economy. As in the Proposed Alternative, a large portion of military family salaries would be spent at the commissary and exchange and other on-station facilities. Thus, the local economic impact of military salaries would be much less than equivalent civilian salaries. Further, since the Full Support Alternative also would have no effect on whether cruise lines made portcalls at Key West, the overall net economic effect, while greater than the Proposed Action Alternative, would still be minimal.

The construction and operation of the additional projects in the Full Support alternative also would occur within the high security environment of Truman Annex and Boca Chica Airfield, which would prohibit access by unauthorized personnel. As with the proposed alternative, most project impacts would be contained within the NAF and implementation of the projects would not result in disproportionate impacts to Bahama Village, to minority or low income populations, and no potential health or safety impacts to children would occur.

# 4.9.4 No-Action Alternative

Under the No-Action alternative, proposed construction activities at the NAF would not occur. Baseline conditions would remain unchanged. Therefore, no significant impacts to socioeconomics or environmental justice would occur as a result of implementation of the No-Action alternative.

4.7.4

# 4.10 NOISE/AICUZ

NOTE: This section will be completed after receiving the Draft AlCUZ study currently being prepared by SOUTHDIV.